

Application Number: AWDM/0947/20	
Site:	Land East Of Shadwells Road At Mash Barn Estate Mash Barn Lane Lancing
Proposal:	Application to vary condition 1 of previously approved AWDM/0961/17. Amendment: Reconfiguration of 21 residential dwellings located in the north west corner. No increase in the number of dwellings and the number of parking spaces is unaffected.

The Head of Planning and Development introduced the application to the Committee and showed Members plans and photographs to illustrate the application.

Members asked questions about how parking spaces would be redistributed as a result of the changes and were told that the number of spaces would remain the same.

The agent for the application gave a representation on the application

Decision:

The Committee agreed to **approve** the recommendation to vary condition 1 of previously approved AWDM/0961/17. Amendment: Reconfiguration of 21 residential dwellings located in the north west corner. No increase in the number of dwellings and the number of parking spaces is unaffected.

2

Application Number: TPO 1 of 2020	
Site:	61 West Street, Shoreham-by-Sea
Proposal:	Confirmation of Adur Tree Preservation Order Number 1 of 2020

The Head of Planning and Development introduced the application to the Committee and displayed plans and photographs for the Committee to consider. The application sought the confirmation of a Tree Preservation order within a conservation area. Initially approval had been sought by the owner to fell the tree; however the officer had determined that the tree was considered important to the visual amenity of the area.

The Officer was asked questions about the possibility of not confirming the order and adding a condition that the tree could be felled but only if replaced with a smaller more appropriate tree. Members were told that a condition of that nature could not be added as a condition although the applicant had informally offered to replace the tree if permission to fell was granted.

Two objectors were present at the meeting and they set out their objections to the application for the Committee.

The Committee debated the application and discussed the balance of the public amenity of the keeping the tree and the need of local residents to protect themselves and their properties from vermin and expensive. Members noted that chopping down a tree was not something desirable, however, it was felt that the tree in question was not a prominent feature of the street scene as it was in a rear garden and was viewable from narrow angles. Members also noted the issues that negatively affected residents caused by animals in association with the tree. On balance it was felt that the application to approve the Tree Preservation Order be rejected, however officer were asked to write to the owner of the tree and ask that if the tree be felled that it be replaced with a more suitable tree for the location.

Decision: That the application for Adur Tree Preservation Order Number 1 of 2020 be rejected